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SUMMARY An oversampling theorem for regular sampling in wavelet subspaces is established. The sufficient-necessary condition for which it holds is found. Meanwhile the truncation error and aliasing error are estimated respectively when the theorem is applied to reconstruct discretely sampled signals. Finally an algorithm is formulated and an example is calculated to show the algorithm.
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1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Sampling theorem is a classical problem which studies how to reconstruct the original signals from their discrete samples. For a finite energy band-limited signal \( f(t) \), i.e., \( f(t) \in L^2(R) \) and \( \text{supp} \hat{f}(-\omega) \subset [-\sigma, \sigma] \), the famous Shannon sampling theorem gives the recovering formula

\[
 f(t) = \sum_n f(n\pi/\sigma) \frac{\sin[\pi(t - n\pi/\sigma)]}{\pi(t - n\pi/\sigma)}, \tag{1}
\]

where \( \hat{f}(-\omega) \) is the Fourier transform of \( f(t) \) defined by \( \hat{f}(-\omega) = \int_R f(t)e^{-i\omega t}dt \), and \( \text{supp} \hat{f}(-\omega) \) is the support set of \( \hat{f}(-\omega) \) defined by \( \text{supp} \hat{f}(-\omega) = \{ \omega: \hat{f}(-\omega) \neq 0 \} \). If we let \( \sigma = 2^m \pi, \ m \in Z \), the Shannon sampling theorem can be in fact regarded as a special case of sampling in a wavelet subspace with \( \varphi(t) = \sin \pi t/\sigma \) playing the role of scaling function of MRA \( \{ V_m = \text{span} \{ \varphi(2^m t - n) \} \}_{n \in Z} \).

Realizing this property, Walter [13] established a recovering formula for the sampling in a class of wavelet subspaces.

Suppose \( \varphi(t) \) is a continuous scaling function of an MRA \( \{ V_m \}_{m \in Z} \) such that \( |\varphi(t)| \leq O(|t|^{-1-\varepsilon}) \) for some \( \varepsilon > 0 \) when \( |t| \to \infty \). Let \( \hat{\varphi}(-\omega) = \sum_k \hat{\varphi}(\omega + 2k\pi) \).

Walter showed that, in orthonormal case, if \( \varphi^*(\omega) \neq 0 \) there is an \( S(t) \in V_0 \) such that

\[
 f(t) = \sum_{n \in Z} f(n)S(t - n) \quad \text{for } f \in V_0 \tag{2}
\]

holds. Following Walter’s work, Janssen [9] studied the shifting case by using Zak-transform. Xia-Zhang [17] discussed the so-called sampling property, i.e.,

\[
 f(t) = \sum_n f(n)\varphi(t - n) \quad \text{for } f \in V_0. \tag{3}
\]

It is also shown that \( \varphi(t) \) satisfies sampling property if and only if \( \varphi^*(\omega) = 1 \) holds for a.e. \( \omega \in R \). Obviously the constraint is too strong to include many important scaling functions, such as Meyer scaling function and certain of Daubechies scaling functions. Thus Walter [14] proposed a weaker expression as

\[
 f(t) = \sum_n f\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)\varphi(2t - n) \quad \text{for } f \in V_0. \tag{4}
\]

Xia [16] extended (4) to a more general form as that for some \( J \in Z^+ \cup \{0\} \),

\[
 f(t) = \sum_n f\left(\frac{n}{2^J}\right)\varphi(2^Jt - n) \quad \text{for } f \in V_0. \tag{5}
\]

(5) is the so-called oversampling property with rate \( J \). It is also shown that \( \varphi(t) \) satisfies oversampling property with rate \( J \) (\( J \in Z^+ \cup \{0\} \)) if and only if \( \varphi(\omega) = \varphi_J^*(\omega)\varphi(2^{-J}\omega) \) holds for a.e. \( \omega \in R \), where \( \varphi_J^*(\omega) = \sum_n \varphi(\omega + 2^{-J+1}n\pi) \). Oversampling property does cover many important scaling functions and it was shown by Chen-Itoh [6] that all bounded interval band orthonormal scaling functions show oversampling property with rate \( J \) for \( J \in Z^+ \).

However all these works on sampling in wavelet subspaces at least have some of the following three shortcomings.

1. The continuity constraint imposed on scaling function, which even excludes Haar scaling function (Walter [13] wrongly applied his theorem to calculate it).

2. The decay constraint imposed on scaling function \( (|\varphi(t)| \leq O(|t|^{-1-\varepsilon}) \) for some \( \varepsilon > 0 \) when \( |t| \to \infty \), which even excludes Shannon scaling function.

3. The constraint \( \varphi(\omega) = \varphi_J^*(\omega)\varphi(2^{-J}\omega) \) is too restrictive to include a broad class of important scaling functions (even some bounded interval band scaling functions).

Recently Chen-Itoh [5] find the fact that there is an \( S(t) \in V_0 \) such that (2) holds in \( L^2(R) \)-sense if and only
if \( \frac{1}{\hat{\varphi}(\omega)} \in L^2[-\pi, \pi] \) holds. Although Chen-Itoh [5]'s work removes the continuity and decay constraints imposed on scaling functions and obtain a sufficient-necessary condition for (2) to hold, it cannot cover the oversampling property case \( (\hat{\varphi}(\omega) = \hat{\varphi}_J^*(\omega)\hat{\psi}(2^{-J}\omega) \) for any \( J \in Z^+ \). Meanwhile there are many scaling functions neither show oversampling property are not captured by Chen-Itoh [5]'s Theorem. For example, take \( \varphi_c(t) \) such that

\[
\varphi_c(\omega) = \begin{cases} 
\varphi(\omega), & |\omega| \leq \frac{2\pi}{3}, \\
1, & \frac{2\pi}{3} < |\omega| \leq \pi, \\
-1, & \pi < |\omega| \leq \frac{4\pi}{3}, \\
0, & \frac{4\pi}{3} < |\omega|,
\end{cases}
\]

(6)

where \( 1 \leq |\varphi(\omega)| \leq 2 \). It is easy to show that \( \varphi_c(t) \) is a scaling function (refer to Boor-Devore-Ron [3]). But \( \hat{\varphi}_c^*(\omega) = 0 \) on \([ -\pi, -\frac{2\pi}{3} ] \cup [\frac{2\pi}{3}, \pi] \). Obviously \( \frac{1}{\hat{\varphi}(\omega)} \in L^2[-\pi, \pi] \) does not hold. It can also be shown that \( \varphi_c(t) \) does not show oversampling property with rate \( J \) for any \( J \in Z^+ \) except for \( \varphi(\omega) = 1 \) (refer to Xia [16] and Chen-Itoh [6]). Therefore we can neither apply the Sampling Theorem (see Walter [13] and Chen-Itoh [5]) nor use the oversampling property (see Walter [14], Xia [16] and Chen-Itoh [6]) to recover signals by \( \varphi_c(t) \). Our task in this paper is to establish the so-called oversampling theorem for sampling in wavelet subspaces, which can capture these scaling functions like the above \( \varphi_c(t) \). Since truncation error and aliasing error should be estimated when recovering signals by using scaling functions, we present two methods to estimate them respectively.

Let us now introduce MRA (Multi Resolution Analysis) which has been aforementioned. For more details see Long-Chen [10] or Long-Chen-Yuan [11] or any books on wavelets such as Chui [7] and Meyer [12].

A so-called MRA \( \{V_m\}_{m \in Z} \) is a family of subspaces of \( L^2(R) \), which satisfies \( V_m \subset V_{m+1} \), \( \bigcup_m V_m = L^2(R) \), and \( \cap_m V_m = \{0\} \); (2) \( f(2t) \in V_m \) if and only if \( f(t) \in V_{m+1} \); (3) There exists a function \( \varphi(t) \in V_0 \) (scaling function) such that \( \{\varphi(t-n)\}_n \) forms a Riesz basis of \( V_0 \). Each \( V_m \) is called to be a wavelet subspace. A scaling function \( \varphi(t) \) is said to be orthogonal (resp. orthonormal) if \( \{\varphi(x-n)\}_n \) forms an orthonormal (resp. orthonormal) basis of \( V_0 \). Let \( \varphi(t) \) be a scaling function of MRA \( \{V_m\}_m \). Then \( \varphi(2t-n) \in V_n \) is a Riesz basis of \( V_n \). Therefore there is a sequence \( \{c_k\}_k \in l^2 \) such that \( \varphi(t) = \sum_k c_k \varphi(2t-k) \). Let \( W_0 = V_1 \cap V_0 \) be the direct complement of \( V_0 \) in \( V_1 \), and \( \psi(t) \in W_0 \). Then there is a sequence \( \{d_k\}_k \in l^2 \) such that \( \psi(t) = \sum_k d_k \varphi(2t-k) \). If \( \{\psi(t-k)\}_k \) is a Riesz basis of \( W_0 \), \( \psi(t) \) is said to be the wavelet of MRA \( \{V_m\}_m \). Therefore for \( f(t) \in V_{m+1} = W_m \bigoplus V_m \), there must be \( \{a_n\}_n \in l^2 \) and \( \{b_n\}_n \in l^2 \) such that

\[
f(t) = \sum_n a_n \varphi(2^{-m}t - n) + \sum_n b_n \psi(2^{-m}t - n). 
\]

(7)

\( \{b_n\}_n \) is called to be the wavelet coefficients of \( f(t) \) in \( W_m \). The above argument also implies that

\[
\hat{\varphi}(\omega) = m_0 \hat{\varphi}(\omega) \phi \left( \frac{\omega}{2} \right),
\]

(8)

\[
\hat{\psi}(\omega) = m_1 \hat{\varphi}(\omega) \phi \left( \frac{\omega}{2} \right)
\]

(9)

hold for a.e. \( \omega \in R \), where \( m_0(\omega) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_k c_k e^{-i k\omega} \) and \( m_1(\omega) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_k d_k e^{-i k\omega} \). Take \( G_\varphi(\omega) = (\sum_n |\hat{\varphi}(\omega + 2n\pi)|^2)^{1/2} \). It is well known (see Chui [7], Meyer [12] or Walter [15]) that

\[
0 < \|G_\varphi(\omega)\|_0 \leq \|G_\varphi(\omega)\|_\infty < \infty
\]

(10)

always holds, and \( \varphi(t) \) is orthonormal if and only if \( G_\varphi(\omega) = 1 \) holds for a.e. \( \omega \in R \).

Finally we introduce some notations used in this paper. For measurable subset \( E \subset R \), \( |E| \) denotes the measure of \( E \). For measurable function \( f(t) \), we write

\[
||f(t)|| = \left( \int_R |f(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2},
\]

(11)

\[
||f(t)||_0 = \sup_{|E|=0} \int_{R \cap E} |f(t)| dt,
\]

(12)

\[
||f(t)||_\infty = \inf_{|E|=\infty} \sup_{|E|=\infty} |f(t)|,
\]

(13)

\[
\chi_E(t) = \begin{cases} 
1 & t \in E \\
0 & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]

(14)

where \( \chi_E(t) \) is called the characteristic function of set \( E \).

2. Oversampling Theorem

We consider the \( \varphi_c(t) \) defined in the Introduction. Although \( \frac{1}{\hat{\varphi}(\omega)} \notin L^2[-\pi, \pi] \) does not hold, we find \( \hat{\varphi}_c^*(\omega) = \varphi(\omega) \) on \([ -\frac{2\pi}{3}, \frac{2\pi}{3} ] \). It is exactly the support set of \( m_0(\omega) \) on \([ -\pi, \pi ] \) (\( \text{supp} \hat{\varphi}_c(\omega) = [ -\frac{2\pi}{3}, \frac{2\pi}{3} ] \)).

\[
\text{supp} \hat{\varphi}_c(\omega) = [ -\frac{4\pi}{3}, \frac{4\pi}{3} ]
\]

and \( \hat{\varphi}_c(\omega) = m_0(\omega) \hat{\varphi}(\omega) \) force that \( \text{supp} \hat{\varphi}_c(\omega) = [ -\frac{2\pi}{3}, \frac{2\pi}{3} ] \). In fact \( m_0(\omega) \in [ -\pi, \pi ] \) holds in this case.). This implies that we can consider \( \frac{1}{\hat{\varphi}_c(\omega)} \text{supp} \hat{\varphi}_c(\omega) \) instead of \( \frac{1}{\hat{\varphi}(\omega)} \). Fortunately it does work.

Theorem I: Let \( \varphi(t) \) be the scaling function of MRA \( \{V_m\}_m \) such that \( \{\varphi(n)\}_n \in l^2 \). Then there is an \( S(t) \in V_0 \) and \( J \in Z^+ \) such that

\[
f(t) = \sum_n f(2^{-J}n)S(2^{-J}t - n) \quad \text{for} \quad f(t) \in V_0
\]

(15)

holds in \( L^2(R) \)-sense if and only if

\[
\frac{1}{\hat{\varphi}_c(\omega)} \text{supp} \hat{\varphi}_c(\omega) \in L^2[-\pi, \pi]
\]

(16)
holds. In this case \( \hat{S}(\omega) = \frac{\hat{\phi}(\omega)}{\hat{\varphi}(\omega)} \) holds for a.e. \( \omega \in \cap_{j=0}^{J-1} 2^{-j} \text{supp} m_0(\cdot) \).

**Proof Step 1: sufficiency.**

Assume \( \frac{1}{\hat{\varphi}(\omega)} \chi_{\cap_{j=0}^{J-1} 2^{-j} \text{supp} m_0(\cdot)}(\omega) \in L^2[-\pi, \pi] \). Then \( \hat{\phi}(\omega) \neq 0 \) holds for a.e. \( \omega \in \cap_{j=0}^{J-1} 2^{-j} \text{supp} m_0(\omega) \), and there is a \( \{c_k\}_k \) in \( l^2 \) such that

\[
\frac{1}{\hat{\varphi}(\omega)} \chi_{\cap_{j=0}^{J-1} 2^{-j} \text{supp} m_0(\cdot)}(\omega) = \sum_k c_k e^{ik\omega} \tag{17}
\]

holds in \( L^2[-\pi, \pi] \)-sense. We now let

\[
F(\omega) = \frac{\hat{\phi}(\omega)}{\hat{\varphi}(\omega)} \chi_{\cap_{j=0}^{J-1} 2^{-j} \text{supp} m_0(\cdot)}(\omega). \tag{18}
\]

Then

\[
\int_R |F(\omega)|^2 d\omega
= \int_R \left| \frac{\hat{\phi}(\omega)}{\hat{\varphi}(\omega)} \chi_{\cap_{j=0}^{J-1} 2^{-j} \text{supp} m_0(\cdot)}(\omega) \right|^2 d\omega \tag{19}
\]

\[
= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \sum_k \frac{\hat{\phi}(\omega + 2k\pi)^2}{|\hat{\varphi}(\omega)|^2} \chi_{\cap_{j=0}^{J-1} 2^{-j} \text{supp} m_0(\cdot)}(\omega) d\omega \tag{20}
\]

\[
\leq \|G_{\varphi}(\omega)\|_2^2 \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{1}{|\hat{\varphi}(\omega)|^2} \chi_{\cap_{j=0}^{J-1} 2^{-j} \text{supp} m_0(\cdot)}(\omega) d\omega \tag{21}
\]

It is easy to see \( F(\omega) \in L^2(R) \) due to (10) and (21). Hence we can take the inverse Fourier transform of \( F(\omega) \) in \( L^2(R) \) denoted by \( S(t) \) (refer to Introduction), i.e., we can derive

\[
\hat{S}(\omega) = \frac{\hat{\phi}(\omega)}{\hat{\varphi}(\omega)} \chi_{\cap_{j=0}^{J-1} 2^{-j} \text{supp} m_0(\cdot)}(\omega) \tag{22}
\]

or

\[
\hat{\phi}(\omega) \chi_{\cap_{j=0}^{J-1} 2^{-j} \text{supp} m_0(\cdot)}(\omega) = \hat{S}(\omega) \hat{\varphi}(\omega). \tag{23}
\]

Since \( \text{supp} m_0(2\cdot) = \frac{1}{2} \text{supp} m_0(\cdot) \), (23) implies

\[
\prod_{j=0}^{J-1} m_0(2^j \omega) \hat{\phi}(\omega) \chi_{\cap_{j=0}^{J-1} 2^{-j} \text{supp} m_0(\cdot)}(\omega)
= \prod_{j=0}^{J-1} m_0(2^j \omega) \hat{\varphi}(\omega) \hat{S}(\omega), \tag{24}
\]

i.e.,

\[
\prod_{j=0}^{J-1} m_0(2^j \omega) \hat{\phi}(\omega) = \prod_{j=0}^{J-1} m_0(2^j \omega) \hat{\varphi}(\omega) \hat{S}(\omega). \tag{25}
\]

By the way,

\[
\hat{\varphi}(2^j \omega) = \sum_k \hat{\phi}(2^j \omega + 2^j + 1 k\pi) \tag{26}
\]

\[
= \prod_{j=0}^{J-1} m_0(2^j \omega) \sum_k \hat{\varphi}(\omega + 2k\pi) \tag{27}
\]

\[
= \prod_{j=0}^{J-1} m_0(2^j \omega) \hat{\varphi}(\omega) \tag{28}
\]

and

\[
\prod_{j=0}^{J-1} m_0(2^j \omega) \hat{\phi}(\omega) = \hat{\phi}(2^j \omega). \tag{29}
\]

Take inverse Fourier transform on both sides of (22) and refer to (17), we have

\[
S(t) = \sum_k c_k \varphi(t - k). \tag{30}
\]

It implies \( S(t) \in V_0 \) due to \( \{\varphi(t - k)\}_k \) is a Riesz basis of \( V_0 \). On the other hand, (25), (28) and (29) implies that

\[
\hat{\phi}(2^j \omega) = \hat{\varphi}(2^j \omega) S(2^j \omega), \tag{31}
\]

i.e.,

\[
\hat{\varphi}(\omega) = \hat{\varphi}(\omega) S(2^{-j} \omega). \tag{32}
\]

From Poisson summation formula,

\[
\hat{\varphi}(\omega) = \sum_n \varphi(\omega + 2^{-j+1} n\pi) \tag{33}
\]

\[
= 2^{-j} \sum_n \varphi(2^{-j} n) e^{-in\omega/2^j}. \tag{34}
\]

Take inverse Fourier Transform on both sides of (32) and refer to (34),

\[
\varphi(t) = \sum_n \varphi(2^{-j} n) S(2^j t - n). \tag{35}
\]

For any \( f(t) \in V_0 \), it can be written to be \( f(t) = \sum a_k \varphi(t - k) \) for some \( \{a_k\}_k \in l^2 \). Due to (35) we have

\[
f(t) = \sum_k a_k \sum_n \varphi(2^{-j} n) S(2^j (t - k) - n) \tag{36}
\]

\[
= \sum_k a_k \sum_l \varphi(2^{-j} l - k) S(2^j t - l) \tag{37}
\]

\[
= \sum_l S(2^j t - l) \sum_k a_k \varphi(2^{-j} l - k) \tag{38}
\]

\[
= \sum_l f(2^{-j} l) S(2^j t - l), \tag{39}
\]

where (37) is due to the index transform \( l = 2^j k + n \).

**Step 2: necessity.**

On the contrary, if there is an \( S(t) \in V_0 \) such that (15) holds in \( L^2(R) \)-sense, then

\[
\varphi(t) = \sum_n \varphi(2^{-j} n) S(2^j t - n) \tag{40}
\]
holds in $L^2(R)$-sense. By taking Fourier transform on both sides of (40) and referring to (34), we obtain
\begin{equation}
\hat{\varphi}(\omega) = \hat{\varphi}_j(\omega)\hat{S}(2^{-j}\omega),
\end{equation}
i.e.,
\begin{equation}
\hat{\varphi}_j(\omega) = \hat{\varphi}_j(2^{-j}\omega)\hat{S}(\omega) \quad \text{or}
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
\prod_{j=0}^{J-1} m_0(2^j \omega)\hat{\varphi}(\omega) = \prod_{j=0}^{J-1} m_0(2^j \omega)\hat{\varphi}^*(\omega)\hat{S}(\omega).
\end{equation}
(43) implies that
\begin{equation}
\cap_{j=0}^{J-1} \text{supp } m_0(2^j \cdot) \cap \text{supp } \hat{\varphi}(\cdot) \supseteq \cap_{j=0}^{J-1} \text{supp } m_0(2^j \cdot) \cap \text{supp } \hat{\varphi}^*(\cdot)
\end{equation}
holds except for a zero measure subset of $R$, i.e.,
\begin{equation}
\cap_{j=0}^{J-1} \text{supp } m_0(2^j \cdot) \cap \text{supp } \varphi(\cdot + 2k\pi) \supseteq \cap_{j=0}^{J-1} \text{supp } m_0(2^j \cdot) \cap \text{supp } \varphi^*(\cdot)
\end{equation}
holds for all $k \in Z$ since $m_0(\omega)$ and $\varphi^*(\omega)$ are $2\pi$-periodic. Meanwhile
\begin{equation}
\bigcup_k \text{supp } \varphi(\cdot + 2k\pi) = R
\end{equation}
holds except for a zero measure subset of $R$. Otherwise there must be some nonzero measure subset $\delta \subset R$ such that $\varphi(\omega + 2k\pi) = 0$ holds for any $\omega \in \delta$ and any $k \in Z$. Then $G_\varphi(\omega) = 0$ holds for any $\omega \in \delta$. It is contradictory to (10). Therefore (45) and (46) imply that
\begin{equation}
\cap_{j=0}^{J-1} \text{supp } m_0(2^j \cdot) \cap \text{supp } \varphi^*(\cdot) \supseteq \cap_{j=0}^{J-1} \text{supp } m_0(2^j \cdot)
\end{equation}
holds except for a zero measure subset of $R$, i.e.,
\begin{equation}
\cap_{j=0}^{J-1} \text{supp } m_0(2^j \cdot) \supseteq \cap_{j=0}^{J-1} \text{supp } m_0(2^j \cdot).
\end{equation}
Now (43) can be rewritten to be
\begin{equation}
\hat{\varphi}(\omega) = \hat{\varphi}_j(\omega)\chi_{\gamma_j^{-1}2^{-j}\text{supp } m_0(\cdot)}(\omega) \\
= \hat{S}(\omega)\chi_{\gamma_j^{-1}2^{-j}\text{supp } m_0(\cdot)}(\omega).
\end{equation}
Since $\hat{S}(\omega) \in L^2(R)$ due to $S(\omega) \in L^2(R)$, we derive
\begin{equation}
\infty > \int_R \left| \frac{\hat{\varphi}(\omega)}{\hat{\varphi}^*(\omega)} \right|^2 \chi_{\gamma_j^{-1}2^{-j}\text{supp } m_0(\cdot)}(\omega)d\omega
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
= \sum_{k}^{\infty} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| \frac{\varphi(\omega + 2k\pi)}{\varphi^*(\omega)} \right|^2 \chi_{\gamma_j^{-1}2^{-j}\text{supp } m_0(\cdot)}(\omega)d\omega
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
\left\| G_\varphi(\omega) \right\|_0 \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{1}{\left| \varphi^*(\omega) \right|^2} \chi_{\gamma_j^{-1}2^{-j}\text{supp } m_0(\cdot)}(\omega) d\omega.
\end{equation}
From (51) and (10), we can now conclude that
\begin{equation}
\frac{1}{\left| \varphi^*(\omega) \right|^2} \chi_{\gamma_j^{-1}2^{-j}\text{supp } m_0(\cdot)}(\omega) \in L^2[-\pi, \pi]
\end{equation}
holds.

**Remark**

1. The so called oversampling property (see Introduction or Walter [14] or Xia [16]) is in fact the special case $\hat{S}(\omega) = \hat{\varphi}(\omega)$ for a.e. $\omega \in \cap_{j=0}^{J-1} 2^{-j}\text{supp } m_0(\cdot)$.

2. If $\text{supp } m_0(\cdot) \subset 2\text{supp } m_0(\cdot)$ holds, we find
\begin{equation}
\chi_{\gamma_j^{-1}2^{-j}\text{supp } m_0(\cdot)}(\omega) = \chi_{2^{-j}\text{supp } m_0(\cdot)}(\omega).
\end{equation}
In many practical case, it does be the true story. But the condition $\frac{1}{\left| \varphi^*(\omega) \right|^2} \chi_{2^{-j}\text{supp } m_0(\cdot)}(\omega) \in L^2(R)$ is easier to be verified.

3. The theorem can be easily modified to $V_m$ since $f(2^{-m}t) \in V_0$ when $f(t) \in V_m$. By using formula (15), $f(2^{-m}t)$ can be recovered by
\begin{equation}
f(2^{-m}t) = \sum_{n} f(2^{-j-m}n)S(2^j t - n),
\end{equation}
i.e.,
\begin{equation}
f(t) = \sum_{n} f(2^{-j-m}n)S(2^j t + m - n)
\end{equation}
for $f(t) \in V_m$. Since $V_m \rightarrow L^2(R)$ in $L^2(R)$-sense (refer to Boor-Devore-Ron [3]), we can approximately recover any finite energy signal $f(t) \in L^2(R)$ if the sampling is fine enough ($m$ is big enough for fixed $J$).

3. Truncation Error and Aliasing Error

When oversampling theorem is applied to reconstruct signals we should know how many items we need to calculate so that the recovered signal is as close to the original one as we expected. Then the truncation error defined by
\begin{equation}
T_{\varphi}^j(t) = \sum_{|n| \geq N} f(2^{-j}n)S(2^j t - n)
\end{equation}
for $f(t) \in V_0$ should be estimated. But we need a little stronger constraint to be imposed on scaling function than in Theorem 1.

**Theorem 2:** Let $\varphi(t)$ be the scaling function of MRA $\{V_m\}_m$ such that $\{\varphi(n)\}_n \in l^2$ and $\frac{1}{\left| \varphi^*(\omega) \right|^2} \chi_{\gamma_j^{-1}2^{-j}\text{supp } m_0(\cdot)}(\omega) \in L^\infty[-\pi, \pi]$ for some $J \in Z^+$. Then
\begin{equation}
\left\| T_{\varphi}^j(t) \right\| \leq 2^{-J/2} \left( \sum_{|n| \geq N} \left| f \left( \frac{n}{2^j} \right) \right|^2 \right)^{1/2}
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
\cdot \left\| \frac{G_\varphi(\omega)}{\varphi^*(\omega)} \chi_{\gamma_j^{-1}2^{-j}\text{supp } m_0(\cdot)}(\omega) \right\|_{L^\infty}.
\end{equation}

**Proof** From Parseval Identity, we have
\begin{equation}
\left\| T_{\varphi}^j(t) \right\|
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
= \left\| \sum_{|n| \geq N} f \left( \frac{n}{2^j} \right) S(2^j t - n) \right\|
\end{equation}
\[ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left\| 2^{-J} \sum_{|n| \leq N} f \left( \frac{n}{2^J} \right) e^{-i2^{-J}n\omega} \mathcal{S} \left( \frac{\omega}{2^J} \right) \right\| (57) \]

\[ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left( \int_0^{2^{J+1}\pi} 2^{-J} \sum_{|n| \leq N} f \left( \frac{n}{2^J} \right) e^{-i2^{-J}n\omega} \right)^2 \cdot \sum_k |\tilde{\phi}(\frac{2^Jk\pi}{\omega})|^2 \cdot \chi_{\gamma_{j=0}^{2J}\text{supp} m_0(\cdot)} (\omega) d\omega \right)^{1/2} \] (58)

\[ \leq 2^{-J/2} \left( \sum_{|n| \leq N} \left| f \left( \frac{n}{2^J} \right) \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} \cdot \left\| \frac{G\phi}{\phi^*} \mid_{X_{\gamma_{j=0}^{2J}\text{supp} m_0(\cdot)} (\omega)} \right\|_\infty \] (59)

\[ = 2^{-J/2} \left( \sum_{|n| \leq N} \left| f \left( \frac{n}{2^J} \right) \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} \cdot \left\| \frac{G\phi}{\phi^*} \mid_{X_{\gamma_{j=0}^{2J}\text{supp} m_0(\cdot)} (\omega)} \right\|_\infty \] (60)

**Remark** For \( f(t) \in \mathcal{V}_m \), the truncation error is calculated as

\[ \left\| T_f^J (t) \right\| \leq 2^{-\frac{J(J+1)}{2}} \left( \sum_{|n| \leq N} \left| f \left( \frac{n}{2^{J+1}} \right) \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} \cdot \left\| \frac{G\phi}{\phi^*} \mid_{X_{\gamma_{j=0}^{2J}\text{supp} m_0(\cdot)} (\omega)} \right\|_\infty \] (61)

The other error that should be estimated is the aliasing error which was proposed by Brown [1] in reconstructing non-band-limited signals by means of the bandpass sampling theorems. Beaty-Higgins [2] extended it to a more general case as to estimate the error of approximating signals by the multiplication of Shannon scaling function. It was Walter [13] who established the sampling theorem for wavelet subspaces and estimated an upper bound for the aliasing error. Chen-Itoh [5] improved Walter’s results and obtained a more precise upper bound. For oversampling theorem the aliasing error is defined by

\[ A_f^J (t) = f(t) - \sum_n f(2^{-J}n)S(2^{J+1}t - n) \] (62)

for \( f(t) \in \mathcal{V}_1 \). By calculating the aliasing error we can select the scaling functions with the smaller aliasing error to recover signals.

**Theorem 3:** Let \( \phi(t) \) be the scaling function of MRA \( \{ \mathcal{V}_m \}_m \) such that \( \{ \phi(n) \}_n \in l^2 \) and \( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \chi_{\gamma_{j=0}^{2J}\text{supp} m_0(\cdot)} (\omega) \in L^2[-\pi, \pi] \) for some \( J \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \). Then

\[ \left\| A_f^J (t) \right\| \leq 2^{J/2} \left( \sum_n \left| b_n \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} m_1 (\omega) G\phi \left( \frac{\omega}{2^{J+1}} \right) \cdot \left( \prod_{\delta_{J-1}} m_0 \left( \frac{\omega}{2^J} \right) \right)^{1/2} \] (63)

where \( \{ b_n \}_n \) is the wavelet coefficients of \( f(t) \) in \( \mathcal{W}_0 \), and \( \delta_{J-1} \) is the Dirac function, i.e.,

\[ \delta_{J-1} = \begin{cases} 1, & J = 1 \\ 0, & J > 1. \end{cases} \] (64)

**Proof** Let \( \mathcal{W}_0 = \mathcal{V}_1 \cap \mathcal{V}_0 \). Due to (15), we only need to show (63) holds for any \( f \in \mathcal{W}_0 \). Let \( \psi(t) \in \mathcal{W}_0 \) be the wavelet of MRA \( \{ \mathcal{V}_m \}_m \). Since \( \{ \psi(t - k) \}_k \) forms a Riesz basis of \( \mathcal{W}_0 \), there must be a \( \{ b_n \}_n \in l^2 \) (the wavelet coefficients of \( f(t) \) in \( \mathcal{W}_0 \)) such that

\[ f(t) = \sum_n b_n \psi(t - n). \] (65)

Set \( C_f (\omega) = \sum_n b_n e^{-i\omega n} \) and take Fourier transform on both sides of (65),

\[ \hat{f}(\omega) = C_f (\omega) \hat{\psi}(\omega). \] (66)

From Parseval Identity, it shows

\[ \left\| A^J_f (t) \right\| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left\| C_f (\omega) \hat{\psi}(\omega) - 2^{-J} \sum_n f(2^{-J}n)e^{-i2^{-J}n\omega} \right\| \] (67)

Since \( 2^{-J} \sum f(2^{-J}n)e^{-i2^{-J}n\omega} = \sum \hat{f}(\omega + 2^{J+1}n\pi) \)

due to Poisson summation Formula, we derive

\[ \left\| A^J_f (t) \right\| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left\| C_f (\omega) \hat{\psi}(\omega) - \sum_n \hat{f}(\omega + 2^{J+1}n\pi) \right\| \] (68)

\[ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left\| C_f (\omega) m_1 \left( \frac{\omega}{2} \right) \frac{\phi (\omega)}{\phi^* (\omega)} \right\| \] (69)

Case 1: \( J = 1 \). Then

\[ \left\| A^J_f (t) \right\| \]
\[
= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left| C_f(\omega) m_1 \left( \frac{\omega}{2} \right) \phi \left( \frac{\omega}{2} \right) - C_f(\omega) m_1 \left( \frac{\omega}{2} \right) \right|
\cdot \left| \phi \left( \frac{\omega}{2} \right) \right|^2
\cdot \left| \phi \left( \frac{\omega}{2} \right) \right|^2
\cdot \left| 1 - \chi_{2\text{supp } m_0(\cdot)}(\omega) \right| d\omega \right)^{1/2}
\]  

(70)

\[
= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left( \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| C_f(\omega) m_1 \left( \frac{\omega}{2} \right) \right|^2 \right)^{1/2}
\cdot \left| \phi \left( \frac{\omega}{2} \right) \right|^2
\cdot \left| \phi \left( \frac{\omega}{2} \right) \right|^2
\cdot \left| 1 - \chi_{2\text{supp } m_0(\cdot)}(\omega) \right| d\omega \right)^{1/2}
\]  

(71)

\[
\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left( \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| C_f(\omega) \right|^2 d\omega \right)^{1/2}
\cdot \left| m_1 \left( \frac{\omega}{2} \right) \phi \left( \frac{\omega}{2} \right) \phi \left( \frac{\omega}{2} \right) \right| \left( 1 - \chi_{\text{supp } m_0(\cdot)}(\omega) \right) \right| \left| m_1 \left( \frac{\omega}{2} \right) \phi \left( \frac{\omega}{2} \right) \phi \left( \frac{\omega}{2} \right) \right| \left| 1 - \chi_{\text{supp } m_0(\cdot)}(\omega) \right| d\omega \right)^{1/2}
\]  

(72)

\[
= \sqrt{2} \left( \sum_{n} |b_n|^2 \right)^{1/2}
\cdot \left| m_1 \left( \frac{\omega}{2} \right) G_\phi \left( \frac{\omega}{2} \right) \chi_{\text{supp } m_0(\cdot)}(\omega) \right| \left| 1 - \chi_{\text{supp } m_0(\cdot)}(\omega) \right| d\omega \right)^{1/2}
\]  

(73)

Case 2: \( J \geq 2 \). Then

\[
\| A_f^c(t) \| \leq 2^{J/2} \left( \sum_{n} |b_n|^2 \right)^{1/2}
\cdot \left( \prod_{j=1}^{J-1} m_0 \left( \frac{\omega}{2^j} \right) \right)^{1-\delta_{J-1}}
\cdot \left| \chi_{\text{supp } m_0(\cdot)}(\omega) \right| \left| 1 - \chi_{\text{supp } m_0(\cdot)}(\omega) \right| d\omega \right)^{1/2}
\]  

(79)

Remark

1. In orthonormal wavelet subspaces, \( G_\phi(\omega) = 1 \), \( m_0(\omega) \leq 1 \) and \( m_1(\omega) \leq 1 \) hold for a.e. \( \omega \in R \). Hence the aliasing error is

\[
\| A_f^c(t) \| \leq 2^{J/2} \left( \sum_{n} |b_n|^2 \right)^{1/2}
\]  

(80)

2. For \( f(t) \in V_{m+1} \), we find that the aliasing error satisfies

\[
\| A_f^c(t) \| \leq 2^{J/2} \left( \sum_{n} |b_n|^2 \right)^{1/2}
\cdot \left( \prod_{j=1}^{J} m_0 \left( \frac{\omega}{2^j} \right) \right)^{1-\delta_{J-1}}
\]  

(81)

But here \( \{b_n\}_n \) is the wavelet coefficient of \( f(t) \) in \( W_m \). It implies that the aliasing error can be as small as we like if the sampling is fine enough (\( m \) is big enough for fixed \( J \)).
4. Shift Oversampling Theorem

As done by Janssen [9] for Walter sampling theorem, Chen-Itoh [4] for Irregular sampling and Chen-Itoh [5] for regular sampling, the shift sampling version of oversampling theorem can also be obtained by using Zak-transform (see Heil-Walnut [8], Janssen [9] and Walter [14]). Let \( \varphi(t) \) be a scaling function of MRA \( \{V_m\}_m \) such that \( \{\varphi(n+\sigma)\}_{n} \in L^2 \) for some \( \sigma \in [0,1] \).

Then Zak-transform can be defined by
\[
Z_\psi(\sigma, \omega) = \sum_n \varphi(n+\sigma)e^{-i\omega n}, \quad \omega \in R. \tag{82}
\]

The following is the shift sampling version of oversampling theorem. Since the procedure is strongly similar to the previous section except that \( Z_\psi(\sigma, \omega) \) takes the role of \( \hat{\varphi}^*(\omega) \), we only display the result without proof here.

**Theorem 4:** Let \( \varphi(t) \) be the scaling function of MRA \( \{V_m\}_m \) such that \( \{\varphi(n+\sigma)\}_{n} \in L^2 \) for some \( \sigma \in [0,1] \). Then there is an \( S_\sigma(t) \in V_0 \) and a \( J \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \) such that
\[
f(t) = \sum_n f(2^{-J}(n+\sigma))S_\sigma(2^Jt-n) \tag{83}
\]
holds for \( f(t) \in V_0 \) in \( L^2(R) \)-sense if and only if
\[
\frac{1}{Z_\psi(\sigma, \omega)} \chi_{\gamma_{-\delta}^{J-1}\supp m_0(\cdot)}(\omega) \in L^2[-\pi, \pi] \tag{84}
\]
holds. In this case \( \hat{S}_\sigma(\omega) = \frac{\hat{\varphi}(\omega)}{Z_\psi(\sigma, \omega)} \) holds for a.e. \( \omega \in \gamma_{-\delta}^{J-1}\supp m_0(\cdot) \).

**Remark** The shift sampling version of truncation error and aliasing error for oversampling theorem also need to be estimated. Since they can be obtained just by using \( Z_\psi(\sigma, \omega) \) instead of \( \hat{\varphi}^*(\omega) \), we do not display them here. Of course the shift sampling in \( V_m \) for oversampling theorem can also be obtained as the previous.

5. Conclusion

Based on the above discussion, we can summarize an algorithm as what follows.

1. For the scaling function \( \varphi(t) \) of MRA \( \{V_m\}_m \), find a \( \sigma \in (0,1) \) such that \( \frac{1}{Z_\psi(\sigma, \omega)} \chi_{\gamma_{-\delta}^{J-1}\supp m_0(\cdot)}(\omega) \in L^2[-\pi, \pi] \).

2. Calculate the truncation error to determine how many items we should at least calculate for approximating the original signals from their discrete samples as close as we expect.

3. Recover the original signals by oversampling theorem (by formula (83)).

4. Calculate the aliasing error to recover the sampled signals in the finer resolution wavelet subspaces.

6. An Example to Show the Algorithm

Obviously the scaling functions covered by sampling theorem and oversampling property, such as Haar scaling function, Shannon scaling function, Daubechies scaling function, Meyer scaling function, etc., all can be captured by our oversampling theorem. Since they are trivial cases for the oversampling theorem and can be better dealt with by the old sampling theorem or oversampling property (refer to Walter [13], Xia [16] and Chen-Itoh [5, 6]) rather than by oversampling theorem, we will not calculate those here. We now calculate the scaling function \( \varphi_c(t) \) defined in the Introduction as an example to show the algorithm.

**Example** \( \hat{\varphi}^*_c(\omega) \in [-\pi, \pi] \) implies that \( \hat{\varphi}^*_c(\omega) \neq 0 \) does not hold. Therefore we can not apply sampling theorem to \( \varphi_c(t) \) (refer to Walter [13] and Chen-Itoh [5]). Since \( \hat{\varphi}_c(\omega) = \hat{\varphi}(\omega) \) and \( \hat{\varphi}_c(2^{-J}\omega) = \hat{v}(\omega) \) on supp \( \hat{\varphi}(\cdot) \) hold for any \( J \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \), the equation \( \hat{\varphi}(\omega) = \hat{\varphi}_c(\omega) \hat{v}(2^{-J}\omega) \), i.e., the equation \( \hat{\varphi}(\omega) = \hat{\varphi}_c(\omega)v(\omega) \) can not hold except for \( v(\omega) \equiv 1 \). Hence we can not yet apply oversampling property to \( \varphi_c(t) \) (refer to the Introduction or Xia [16] and Chen-Itoh [6]). But we have known \( \frac{\hat{\varphi}(\omega)}{Z_\psi(\sigma, \omega)} \chi_{\gamma_{-\delta}^{J-1}\supp m_0(\cdot)}(\omega) \in L^\infty[-\frac{\pi}{J}, \frac{\pi}{J}] \).

Thus the oversampling theorem can be used to recover signals with \( J = 1 \) and \( \sigma = 0 \), i.e., there is an \( S(t) \in V_0 \) such that \( \hat{S}(\omega) = \frac{\hat{\varphi}(\omega)}{Z_\psi(\sigma, \omega)} \) holds for a.e. \( \omega \in [-\frac{\pi}{J}, \frac{\pi}{J}] \), i.e.,
\[
S(t) = \chi_{[-\frac{\pi}{2J}, \frac{\pi}{2J}]}(t)
\]
holds in \( L^2(R) \)-sense. In fact \( S(t) = \frac{\sin(\pi t/\tau)}{\pi t} \) holds in this case. Therefore (85) is
\[
f(t) = \sum_n f\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) \frac{\sin(\pi t/\tau) - \sin(\frac{\pi n}{2J})}{2\pi t - n\pi} \tag{86}
\]
for \( f(t) \in V_0 \). The truncation error and aliasing error are respectively
\[
\|T_f(t)\| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \sum_{|n| > N} \left| f\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} \tag{87}
\]
for \( f(t) \in V_0 \), and
\[
\|A_f(t)\| \leq 2 \|m_1(\omega)\|_{\infty} \left( \sum_n |b_n|^2 \right)^{1/2} \tag{88}
\]
for \( f(t) \in V_1 \), where \( m_1(\omega) \) depends on the wavelet constructed. For orthonormal wavelet, \( |m_1(\omega)| \leq 1 \) holds for a.e. \( \omega \in R \). For sampling in \( V_m \) the truncation error and aliasing error are respectively
\[
\|T_f(t)\| \leq 2^{\frac{1-m}{2}} \left( \sum_{|n| > N} \left| f\left(\frac{n}{2^{m+1}}\right) \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} \tag{89}
\]
for \( f(t) \in V_m \), and
\[
\|A^T(\omega)\| \leq 2^{\frac{2m}{2}} \|M_1(\omega)\|_\infty \left( \sum_n |b_n|^2 \right)^{1/2}
\]
(90)
for \( f(t) \in V_{m-1} \). But here \( \{b_n\}_n \) are the wavelet coefficients of \( f(t) \) in \( W_m \), and \( M_1(\omega) \) also depends on the wavelets constructed.

It is worth to indicating that this example can also be indirectly dealt with by the classical Shannon sampling theorem although it, as a special case of sampling theorem, can not be directly applied to the wavelet subspaces. Since the scaling function \( \varphi_c(t) \) is \([-\tfrac{4\pi}{3}, \tfrac{4\pi}{3}]\)-band, it can be expressed as
\[
\varphi_c(t) = \sum_n \varphi_n \left( \frac{3}{4} n \right) \sin \left( \frac{4\pi}{3} t - n \pi \right).
\]
(91)
Suppose \( f(t) = \sum_k \alpha_k \varphi_c(t-k) \) for \( f(t) \in V_0 \). Then
\[
f(t) = \sum_{k,n} \alpha_k \varphi_n \left( \frac{3}{4} (t-k) - \frac{4\pi}{3} t - n \pi \right)
\]
(92)
\[
= \sum_{k,n} \alpha_k \varphi_n \left( \frac{3}{4} (t-k) - \frac{4\pi}{3} t - (\frac{3}{4} k + n) \pi \right)
\]
(93)
\[
= \sum_{l \in A} \sum_k \alpha_k \varphi_{cl} \left( \frac{4}{3} t - \frac{3}{4} (k + n) \pi \right)
\]
(94)
\[
= \sum_{l \in A} f \left( \frac{4}{3} t - \frac{3}{4} (k + n) \pi \right)
\]
(95)
where (94) is due to the index transform \( l = 4k + 3n \), and \( A = 4Z + 3Z \). From (95) we learn that the sampling step is \( \frac{1}{4} \) with computing complexity \( 4N \) or step \( \frac{3}{4} \) with complexity \( \frac{3}{4} N^2 \). But (86) implies that we can recover the signal by step \( \frac{1}{2} \) with complexity \( 2N \). It is a typical difference of oversampling theorem and the previous contributions, and also the advantage of oversampling theorem versus other ways for dealing with the scaling functions such as \( \varphi_c(t) \). It is also worth to indicating that Shannon sampling theorem is available only because \( \varphi_c(t) \) is fortunately bandlimited. In general case it does not work while sampling theorem is not available.
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